SPONSOR'S REBUTTAL TO FISCAL NOTE

Bill Number: SB 272 **Date Prepared:** 2/11/2011

Short Title: Revise laws related to psychologists prescriptive authority

Sponsor: Terry Murphy

Fiscal Note Version and Date: 1 February, 2011

Generally, why do you disagree with the fiscal note?

Assumption No. 8 – There is no basis for this assumption; and in fact there is every reason and history to believe there will be no complaints.

Specifically, what in the fiscal note do you feel is flawed?
[*Describe specific assumptions, calculations, technical issues, etc.*]

Assumption No. 8 – There is no basis for this assumption. Prescribing psychologists have been in practice 17 years with the military, 6 years in New Mexico and Louisiana and 4 years with the Indian Health Service. There have been NO complaints. With this history there is NO reason whatsoever to assume there would be complaints in Montana.

What is your estimate of the fiscal impact?

$6148

SB 272